

Modern C++ Programming

4. BASIC CONCEPTS III

ENUMERATORS, STRUCTURES, AND CONTROL FLOW

Federico Busato

2023-11-29

Table of Contents

1 Enumerators

2 struct, Bitfield, and union

3 Control Flow

- if Statement
- for and while Loops
- Range-based for Loop
- switch
- goto

Enumerators

Enumerator - enum

Enumerator

An **enumerator** `enum` is a data type that groups a set of named integral constants

```
enum color_t { BLACK, BLUE, GREEN };

color_t color = BLUE;
cout << (color == BLACK); // print false
```

The problem:

```
enum color_t { BLACK, BLUE, GREEN };
enum fruit_t { APPLE, CHERRY };

color_t color = BLACK;      // int: 0
fruit_t fruit = APPLE;     // int: 0
bool    b      = (color == fruit); // print 'true'!!
// and, most importantly, does the match between a color and
// a fruit make any sense?
```

Strongly Typed Enumerator - enum class

enum class (C++11)

enum class (scoped enum) data type is a *type safe* enumerator that is not implicitly convertible to int

```
enum class Color { BLACK, BLUE, GREEN };
enum class Fruit { APPLE, CHERRY };
```

```
Color color = Color::BLUE;
Fruit fruit = Fruit::APPLE;
```

```
// bool b = (color == fruit) compile error we are trying to match colors with fruits
//                                     BUT, they are different things entirely
// int a1 = Color::GREEN;           compile error
// int a2 = Color::RED + Color::GREEN; compile error
int a3 = (int) Color::GREEN;      // ok, explicit conversion
```

enum/enum class Features

- `enum/enum class` can be compared

```
enum class Color { RED, GREEN, BLUE };
cout << (Color::RED < Color::GREEN); // print true
```

- `enum/enum class` are automatically enumerated in increasing order

```
enum class Color { RED, GREEN = -1, BLUE, BLACK };
//           (0)   (-1)          (0)    (1)
Color::RED == Color::BLUE; // true
```

- `enum/enum class` can contain alias

```
enum class Device { PC = 0, COMPUTER = 0, PRINTER };
```

- C++11 `enum/enum class` allows to set the underlying type

```
enum class Color : int8_t { RED, GREEN, BLUE };
```

enum class Features - C++17

- C++17 `enum class` supports *direct-list-initialization*

```
enum class Color { RED, GREEN, BLUE };
Color a{2}; // ok, equal to Color:BLUE
```

- C++17 `enum/enum class` support *attributes*

```
enum class Color { RED, GREEN, [[deprecated]] BLUE };
auto x = Color::BLUE; // compiler warning
```

enum class Features - C++20

- C++20 allows to introduce the enumerator identifiers into the local scope to decrease the verbosity

```
enum class Color { RED, GREEN, BLUE };

switch (x) {
    using enum Color; // C++20
    case RED:
    case GREEN:
    case BLUE:
}
```

enum/enum class - Common Errors

- `enum/enum class` should be always initialized

```
enum class Color { RED, GREEN, BLUE };

Color my_color; // "my_color" may be outside RED, GREEN, BLUE!!
```

- `C++17` Cast from *out-of-range values* respect to the *underlying type* of `enum/enum class` leads to undefined behavior

```
enum Color : uint8_t { RED, GREEN, BLUE };

Color value = 256; // undefined behavior
```

enum/enum class and constexpr★

- C++17 `constexpr` expressions don't allow *out-of-range values* for (only) `enum` without explicit *underlying type*

```
enum      Color      { RED };
enum      Fruit : int { APPLE };
enum class Device     { PC };

// constexpr Color  a1 = (Color) -1; compile error
const    Color  a2 = (Color) -1; // ok
constexpr Fruit a3 = (Fruit) -1; // ok
constexpr Device a4 = (Device) -1; // ok
```

struct, Bitfield, and union

A `struct` (*structure*) aggregates different variables into a single unit

```
struct A {  
    int    x;  
    char   y;  
};
```

It is possible to declare one or more variables after the definition of a `struct`

```
struct A {  
    int    x;  
} a, b;
```

Enumerators can be declared within a `struct` without a name

```
struct A {  
    enum {X, Y}  
};  
A::X;
```

It is possible to declare a `struct` in a local scope (with some restrictions), e.g. function scope

```
int f() {
    struct A {
        int x;
    } a;
    return a.x;
}
```

Contrary to C, C++ does not allow *anonymous* `struct` (i.e. without a name)

```
struct { // anonymous struct, compile error
    int x;
};
```

Bitfield

Bitfield

A **bitfield** is a variable of a structure with a predefined bit width. A bitfield can hold bits instead bytes

```
struct S1 {
    int b1 : 10; // range [0, 1023]
    int b2 : 10; // range [0, 1023]
    int b3 : 8;  // range [0, 255]
}; // sizeof(S1): 4 bytes

struct S2 {
    int b1 : 10;
    int : 0; // reset: force the next field
    int b2 : 10; //          to start at bit 32
}; // sizeof(S1): 8 bytes
```

Union

A **union** is a special data type that allows to store different data types in the same memory location

- The **union** is only as big as necessary to hold its *largest* data member
- The **union** is a kind of “*overlapping*” storage

```
union A {  
    int x;  
    char y;  
};
```

```
A a;  
a.x = 0xAABBCCDD
```



Note: little endian

```
union A {  
    int  x;  
    char y;  
}; // sizeof(A): 4  
  
A a;  
a.x = 1023;    // bits: 00..00000111111111  
a.y = 0;        // bits: 00..0000011000000000  
cout << a.x;  // print 512 + 256 = 768
```

NOTE: Little-Endian encoding maps the bytes of a value in memory in the reverse order. `y` maps to the last byte of `x`

Contrary to `struct`, C++ allows *anonymous union* (i.e. without a name)

C++17 introduces `std::variant` to represent a *type-safe union*

Control Flow

if Statement

The `if` statement executes the first branch if the specified condition is evaluated to `true`, the second branch otherwise

- *Short-circuiting:*

```
if (<true expression> || array[-1] == 0)
... // no error!! even though index is -1
    // left-to-right evaluation
```

- *Ternary operator:*

```
<cond> ? <expression1> : <expression2>
```

`<expression1>` and `<expression2>` must return a value of the same or convertible type

```
int value = (a == b) ? a : (b == c ? b : 3); // nested
```

for and while Loops

- **for**

```
for ([init]; [cond]; [increment]) {  
    ...  
}
```

To use when number of iterations is known

- **while**

```
while (cond) {  
    ...  
}
```

To use when number of iterations is not known

- **do while**

```
do {  
    ...  
} while (cond);
```

To use when number of iterations is not known, but there is at least one iteration

for Loop Features and Jump Statements

- C++ allows “in loop” definitions:

```
for (int i = 0, k = 0; i < 10; i++, k += 2)  
    ...
```

- Infinite loop:

```
for (;;) // also while(true);  
    ...
```

- Jump statements (**break**, **continue**, **return**):

```
for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++) {  
    if (<condition>)  
        break; // exit from the loop  
    if (<condition>)  
        continue; // continue with a new iteration and exec. i++  
    return; // exit from the function  
}
```

C++11 introduces the **range-based for loop** to simplify the verbosity of traditional **for** loop constructs. They are equivalent to the **for** loop operating over a range of values, but **safer**

The range-based for loop avoids the user to specify start, end, and increment of the loop

```
for (int v : { 3, 2, 1 }) // INITIALIZER LIST
    cout << v << " ";      // print: 3 2 1

int values[] = { 3, 2, 1 };
for (int v : values)       // ARRAY OF VALUES
    cout << v << " ";      // print: 3 2 1

for (auto c : "abcd")     // RAW STRING
    cout << c << " ";      // print: a b c d
```

Range-based for loop can be applied in three cases:

- Fixed-size array `int array[3], "abcd"`
- Branch Initializer List `{1, 2, 3}`
- Any object with `begin()` and `end()` methods

```
std::vector vec{1, 2, 3, 4};

for (auto x : vec) {
    cout << x << ", ";
// print:  "1, 2, 3, 4"
```

```
int matrix[2][4];

for (auto& row : matrix) {
    for (auto element : row)
        cout << "@";
    cout << "\n";
}

// print: @@@@
//         @@@@
```

C++17 extends the concept of **range-based loop** for *structure binding*

```
struct A {  
    int x;  
    int y;  
};  
  
A array[] = { {1,2}, {5,6}, {7,1} };  
for (auto [x1, y1] : array)  
    cout << x1 << "," << y1 << " "; // print: 1,2 5,6 7,1
```

The `switch` statement evaluates an expression (`int`, `char`, `enum class`, `enum`) and executes the statement associated with the matching case value

```
char x = ...
switch (x) {
    case 'a': y = 1; break;
    default:   return -1;
}
return y;
```

Switch scope:

```
int x = 1;
switch (1) {
    case 0: int x;           // nearest scope
    case 1: cout << x;     // undefined!!
    case 2: { int y; }      // ok
// case 3: cout << y;    // compile error
}
```

Fallthrough:

```
MyEnum x
int y = 0;
switch (x) {
    case MyEnum::A:          // fallthrough
    case MyEnum::B:          // fallthrough
    case MyEnum::C: return 0;
    default: return -1;
}
```

C++17 `[[fallthrough]]` attribute

```
char x = ...
switch (x) {
    case 'a': x++;
                [[fallthrough]]; // C++17: avoid warning
    case 'b': return 0;
    default: return -1;
}
```

Control Flow with Initializing Statement

Control flow with **initializing statement** aims at simplifying complex actions before the condition evaluation and restrict the scope of a variable which is visible only in the control flow body

C++17 introduces `if` statement with initializer

```
if (int ret = x + y; ret < 10)
    cout << ret;
```

C++17 introduces `switch` statement with initializer

```
switch (auto i = f(); x) {
    case 1: return i + x;
```

C++20 introduces `range-for` loop statement with initializer

```
for (int i = 0; auto x : {'A', 'B', 'C'})
    cout << i++ << ":" << x; // print: 1:A 2:B 3:C
```

When `goto` could be useful:

```
bool flag = true;  
for (int i = 0; i < N && flag; i++) {  
    for (int j = 0; j < M && flag; j++) {  
        if (<condition>)  
            flag = false;  
    }  
}
```

become:

```
for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) {  
    for (int j = 0; j < M; j++) {  
        if (<condition>)  
            goto LABEL;  
    }  
}  
LABEL: ;
```

Best solution:

```
bool my_function(int M, int N) {  
    for (int i = 0; i < N; i++) {  
        for (int j = 0; j < M; j++) {  
            if (<condition>)  
                return false;  
        }  
    }  
    return true;  
}
```

Junior: what's wrong
with goto command?

goto command:



I COULD RESTRUCTURE
THE PROGRAM'S FLOW
OR USE ONE LITTLE
'GOTO' INSTEAD.



EH, SCREW GOOD PRACTICE.
HOW BAD CAN IT BE?

